The Use of a Novel MUC1 Antibody to Identify Cancer Stem Cells and Circulating MUC1 in Mice and Patients With Pancreatic Cancer
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Background and Objectives: MUC1 is over-expressed and aberrantly glycosylated in >60% of human pancreatic cancer (PC). Development of novel approaches for detection and/or targeting of MUC1 are critically needed and should be able to detect MUC1 on PC cells (including cancer stem cells) and in serum.

Methods: The sensitivity and specificity of the anti-MUC1 antibody, TAB 004, was determined. CSCs were assessed for MUC1 expression using TAB 004-FITC on in vitro PC cell lines, and on lineage− cells from in vivo tumors and human samples. Serum was assessed for shed MUC1 via the TAB 004 EIA.

Results: In vitro and in vivo, TAB 004 detected MUC1 on >95% of CSCs. Approximately, 80% of CSCs in patients displayed MUC1 expression as detected by TAB 004. Shed MUC1 was detected in mice with HPAF-II (MUC1high) but not BxPC3 tumors (MUC1low). The TAB 004 EIA was able to accurately detect stage progression in PC patients.

Conclusions: The TAB 004 antibody may be explored as a therapeutic targeting agent for CSCs in PC. The TAB 004 EIA detected circulating MUC1 in a stage-dependent manner in patients with PC and thus may be explored as a PC stage diagnostic biomarker.
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INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic cancer (PC) has the worst prognosis of all cancers and is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States [1]. Currently, therapies for PC are limited to surgical resection and adjuvant therapies, including chemotherapy and radiation therapy, but the median survival of patients diagnosed with PC is a dismal 4–6 months. Thus, PC remains a lethal diagnosis for the vast majority of patients due to high rate of recurrence and metastasis.

Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are defined as a subset of tumor cells that have the ability to self-renew and generate the diverse cells that comprised the original tumor. Although a debate still exists over PC stem cell markers, CD133[2], CD44[3], and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH)-expressing pancreatic cancer cells have been shown to possess “stem-like” properties [4]. Interestingly, Hermann et al. [2] identified a 14% overlap between CD44[4]CD24EpCAM[5] and CD133[6] cells, suggesting that there may be more than one set of cell surface markers that may be used to enrich for pancreatic CSCs. Further, a highly metastatic subpopulation of the CD133[7] PC cells co-express CXCR4, the receptor for SDF-1 [2]. Clinically, the presence of CSCs is extremely important, as these cells need to be eradicated in order to provide long-term disease-free survival. Recent studies have shown that the CD133[8] populations of PC cells are enriched after exposure to the chemotherapeutic agent, gemcitabine [2]. This supports the hypothesis that CSCs are resistant to conventional treatments and that these cells are the culprit behind cancer metastases and recurrence after clinical remission.

MUC1 (Mucin1) is a membrane tethered glycoprotein expressed on the apical surfaces of normal glandular epithelia but is over-expressed and aberrantly glycosylated in over 60% of human PDA [5]. In PC, tumor-associated MUC1 is a marker of an aggressive phenotype, as its expression is correlated with high metastases and poor prognosis [6][7]. MUC1 can be detected in the serum of patients with PC, as it is cleaved from the epithelial cells and released into circulation. High MUC1 serum levels are associated with progressive disease [8–10]. MUC1 synthesized by cancerous tissues displays an aberrant oligosaccharide profile giving rise to the expression of neomarkers such as sialyl-Lea (used in the CA19-9 test) [11–14], sialyl-Lex, and sialyl-Tn (TAG-72), as well as the cryptic epitopes such as Th [15–17]. In addition, because of underglycosylation, the peptide core of the mucin becomes exposed such that epitopes within the core that are not accessible within normal tissue-derived MUC1 may serve as potential antigens [18,19]. Thus, differences between normal versus malignant MUC1 can provide for distinct epitopes that may show higher specificity for malignant tissues and can be explored as tumor-associated antigens in various anticancer applications.

To this end, we have assessed the applications of a novel antibody developed against tumor-associated MUC1, TAB 004. In this manuscript, we will evaluate the ability of TAB 004 to distinguish tumor-associated MUC1, to detect the presence of MUC1 on CSCs and to identify shed MUC1 in the serum of mice and patients with PC.

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Antibody Generation
TAB 004 (Patent # US-2011-0123442, PCT/US2011/037972) was generated by immunizing Balb/c mice with protein lysate from MUC1-expressing tumors that developed in a MUC1 transgenic mouse that expressed human MUC1 [6]. Hybridomas were generated by fusion of spleen cells from immunized mice with myeloma cell line P3X63Ag8 to develop the monoclonal antibody TAB 004 which was identified by screening hybridomas. The antibody was then grown and isolated at the Immunology Core Facility (University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill).

Cell Generation and Cell Culture
Generation of BxpC3.Neo and BxpC3.MUC1 is summarized in [20]. These cells were maintained in complete RPMI (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) containing 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% glutamax™ (Invitrogen), and 3 μg/ml G418. The KCKO cell line was generated from a pancreatic tumor in Muc1 knockout mice [6][21]. The KCM cell line was isolated from a pancreatic tumor in a mouse that was transgenic for human MUC1 [6]. These cells were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 1% glutamax™. HPAF-II cells were maintained in MEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% FCS, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 1% Glutamax™.

Western Blot
Briefly, cells were lysed in HEPES buffer (20 mmol/L HEPES, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mmol/L EDTA) containing protease (Complete inhibitor cocktail; Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and phosphatase inhibitors (10 mmol/L sodium fluoride, 2 mmol/L sodium vanadate, 50 μmol/L ammonium molybdate). Equal quantities of lysate were loaded on SDS–PAGE gels [20]. TAB 004 and β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) antibodies were added at 1:1,000 dilution, incubated overnight at 4°C, followed by washes and detection with anti-mouse HRP at 1:2,500 dilution (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Immunohistochemistry
Paraffin-embedded tissue from the nude mice and patients with PC were sectioned to 4 μm and placed on slides. Tissue was deparaffinized and hydrated via washes with 100% EtOH, 95% EtOH, 70% EtOH and then water. Antigen retrieval was performed for 30 min at 99°C followed by a 20-min cool down (RT) in Dako Target antigen retrieval (S1700, Dako, Carpinteria, CA). Sections were then blocked for 1 hr in 50% FBS in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and then incubated over-night with TAB 004 (1:1,000 dilution). The next morning, sections were washed with 3 times with PBS and a detection antibody was added for 1 hr (goat anti-mouse HRP, 1:100, Dako). For all slides, 3′′,5′′-Diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, CA) was used as the chromogen and hematoxylin was used as counterstain. Slides were then dehydrated, coverslipped, and viewed using light microscopy.

Human Sample Collection
Patients with suspected PC that were scheduled to undergo a Whipple procedure were consented for our IRB approved protocol (UNC Charlotte IRB protocol # 12-04-26 and CHS IRB file # 10-07-09B). Whole blood was collected during surgery and spun down at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. Serum was collected and frozen for later analysis. Resected tumors were collected from the Pathology Laboratory at CMC. The tumor sections were cut into small pieces and digested in 1 mg/ml Collagenase IV (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ) in RPMI for 30 min at 37°C. The tumor was further digested mechanically through a 40 μm filter and spun down for lineage selection. Lineage negative cells were collected using the human Lineage Depletion kit with MACS columns (Miltenyi Biotec, Cambridge, MA). Cells were then subjected to flow cytometry analysis. Human sera and tissue sections were obtained separately from the NIH tissue repository for immunohistochemical (IHC), immunofluorescence (IF) and TAB 004 analysis.

Nude Mouse Studies
For BxpC3 tumors, 2-month-old, male athymic nude mice (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME) were injected with 5 × 10⁶ BxpC3.Neo cells in 100 μl of PBS subcutaneously (s.c.) into the flank of the mice. Tumors were allowed to grow for 2 months. At sacrifice, serum was collected and tumors were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin (pH 6.8–7.2) for a minimum of 24 hr.

In vivo CSC assessment, 2-month-old, male athymic nude mice (Harlan Laboratories, Inc., Frederick, MD) were subcutaneously injected with either 3 × 10⁷ HPAF-II, 5 × 10⁷ PANC-1 or 5 × 10⁶ MiaPaCa-2 cells in PBS. Tumors grew for 25 days. At sacrifice, serum was collected, a section of the tumor was formalin-fixed, and another tumor section was digested as above and processed using the mouse Lineage Depletion Kit (Miltenyi Biotec).

Flow Cytometry
Cells were washed 2× with PBS/0.1% Sodium Azide/1% BSA buffer. Cells were then incubated with either FcBlock (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA) or human IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Lab, Inc., West Grove, PA) for 10 min on ice. TAB 004 was conjugated to FITC using the Lightning-Link™ FITC conjugation kit (Innova Biosciences, Cambridge, UK). To assess MUC1 on Triple⁺ cells, the following antibody panel was used: TAB 004-FITC, EpCAM-PE (347198), CD24-PECy7 (311119), and CD44-APC (500890; BD Biosciences). Separate cells were also dually stained with TAB 004-FITC and CD133-APC (Clone AC133; Miltenyi Biotec). Data were collected using the BD LSRFortessa cell analyzer and analyzed using FlowJo software.

Immunofluorescence
Paraffin-embedded tissue from both nude mice and patients with PDA were sectioned to 4 μm and placed on slides. Tissue was deparaffinized and hydrated via washes with 100% EtOH, 95% EtOH, 70% EtOH and then water. Antigen retrieval was performed for 30 min at 99°C followed by a 20-min cool down at RT in Dako Target antigen retrieval (Dako, S1700, Carpinteria, CA). Sections were then blocked for 1 hr in 50% FBS in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and then incubated over-night with TAB 004 (1:1,000 dilution). The next morning, sections were washed with 3 times with PBS and a detection antibody was added for 1 hr (goat anti-mouse HRP, 1:100, Dako). For all slides, 3′′,5′′-Diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, CA) was used as the chromogen and hematoxylin was used as counterstain. Slides were then dehydrated, coverslipped, and viewed using light microscopy.

Human Sample Collection
Patients with suspected PC that were scheduled to undergo a Whipple procedure were consented for our IRB approved protocol (UNC Charlotte IRB protocol # 12-04-26 and CHS IRB file # 10-07-09B). Whole blood was collected during surgery and spun down at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. Serum was collected and frozen for later analysis. Resected tumors were collected from the Pathology Laboratory at CMC. The tumor sections were cut into small pieces and digested in 1 mg/ml Collagenase IV (Worthington Biochemical Corporation, Lakewood, NJ) in RPMI for 30 min at 37°C. The tumor was further digested mechanically through a 40 μm filter and spun down for lineage selection. Lineage negative cells were collected using the human Lineage Depletion kit with MACS columns (Miltenyi Biotec, Cambridge, MA). Cells were then subjected to flow cytometry analysis. Human sera and tissue sections were obtained separately from the NIH tissue repository for immunohistochemical (IHC), immunofluorescence (IF) and TAB 004 analysis.

TAB 004 Enzyme Immunoassay
Frozen serum collected from the nude mice with pancreatic tumors and from human patients was subjected to the TAB 004 enzyme immunoassay (EIA). High-binding 96-well plates (Corning Inc., Corning, NY) were coated with 2 μg/ml TAB 004 over night at RT. The next
morning, the plate was blocked with 10% FBS in PBS for 1 hr at RT. Standards and samples (1:4 dilution for human sera and 1:2 dilution for mouse sera) were added to the wells and incubated for 2 hr at RT. The standard curve consisted of KCM cell lysate at a range from 0.98 to 250 μg/ml. The plate was then washed 3x with PBS-Tween. The detection antibody was then added at 4 μg/ml of Biotin-TAB 004 and incubated for 2 hr at RT. After a repeated wash, SA-HRP (Chemicon, Australia) at a 1:1,000 dilution was added for 2 hr at RT. After a final wash, the EIA was developed with 1Step Ultra TMB-ELISA solution (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA) for approximately 30 min followed by the addition of stop solution. The plate was read at 450 nm using the μQuant plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). For the samples collected from NIH, a similar procedure was followed, except MUC1 peptides were used for the standard and TAB 004 was conjugated directly to HRP for detection.

**Statistics**

Statistical analysis for the TAB 004 EIA using the serum from the nude mice was performed using a Mann–Whitney U-test. Data collected from patients using the TAB 004 EIA was logged to promote normality. A repeated-measures ANOVA was performed to test
TAB 004 Detects MUC1 on CSCs In Vivo

CSCs are known to be heavily influenced by their environment, and thus we evaluated this interaction in vivo. Nude mice were injected with the PC cell line HPAF-II. After 25 days, tumors were removed and assessed for CSC levels. Approximately 5% of the tumor was positive for CD133-expressing CSCs (Fig. 3A). We again observed unexpectedly high levels of Triple+ CSCs, which were about 40% of the tumor (Fig. 3B). On both populations of CSCs, TAB 004 detected MUC1 on over 95% of the cells (Fig. 3C). We observed similar levels of MUC1 on CSCs isolated from MiaPaCa-2 and PANC-1 tumors—average of 96.7% on MiaPaCa-2 Triple+ cells and 78.5% on CD133+ cells (n = 3) and average of 92.4% on PANC-1 Triple+ cells and 80.4% on CD133+ cells (n = 3; data not shown). Sections from the HPAF-II tumors were dually stained with the TAB 004 and CD133 antibodies to visualize the presence of these proteins within the tumors. While TAB 004 detected MUC1 throughout the tumor, CD133 expression was limited to a small population of tumor cells. TAB 004 staining was visually seen on these CD133+ cells, confirming our flow cytometric analysis (Fig. 3D).

TAB 004 Detected MUC1 Expression on CD133+ Cells in Tumor Specimens Derived From Patients With Various Stages of PC

Patients with suspected or confirmed cases of PC that were undergoing a Whipple procedure were enrolled in our IRB-approved protocol, which allowed for the collection of tumor sections and blood. Patient demographics are displayed in Table I. Tumors were digested into single cell suspensions, and lineage-negative cells were assessed for MUC1 expression, as well as for a CSC marker, CD133. MUC1 expression detected by TAB 004 staining was observed in 15 of the 17 patients assessed. Levels of MUC1 positive cells and degree of MUC1 expression were highly variable, most likely due to the heterogeneous nature of the samples collected (Table I). We assessed CSC levels in patients via CD133 staining. Gating is displayed in Figure 5A and each sample was gated based on their individual isotype to control for staining variability. CD133+ cells were detected in 12 out of 17 patients. Patients had varying amounts of CD133 with an average of 8.9% (Fig. 5A and Table I). Using TAB 004, we assessed levels of MUC1 on CSCs in those patients with CD133+ cells. TAB 004 detected MUC1 on CD133+ CSCs on approximately 80% of the cells (Fig. 5B). Paraffin-embedded tissue samples were assessed for dual CD133 and MUC1 expression via immunofluorescence. Ductal adenomas stained positively for MUC1 via TAB 004 stain (green). Autofluorescent nuclear staining was also observed in the green channel, but this was easily distinguishable from the extra-cellular TAB 004 stain. Localized areas of intense CD133 stain (red) were also observed on ductal cells. The areas of CD133 positivity displayed TAB 004 staining as shown by the white arrows in the merged image (Fig. 5C). However, many areas of TAB 004 positivity
do not also show CD133 expression (Fig. 5C, green arrow). This is to be expected as we would anticipate that not all MUC1 positive cells are CSCs, but that the majority of CD133\(^+\) CSCs express MUC1. This data confirms our in vitro and in vivo findings and emphasizes the use of TAB 004 to detect MUC1 on CSCs in patients with PC.

Detection of Shed MUC1 in the Serum of Mice and Patients With PC

It has been well established that MUC1 can be cleaved from tumor cells, thus releasing the protein into the circulation. Therefore, we tested the ability of the TAB 004 antibody to detect MUC1 in the circulation of mice and patients with PC using an EIA. The TAB 004 EIA detected circulating MUC1 in mice with HPAF-II tumors (MUC1\(^{\text{high}}\)) but not in mice with MUC1\(^{\text{low}}\) BxCP3 tumors (Fig. 6A).

Serum collected from patients was subjected to the TAB 004 EIA. TAB 004 detected MUC1 in all patients assayed (Table I). In all but one case, the expression of MUC1 in the single cells isolated from the tumor specimens correlated with its expression in the circulation. However, in patient #11, we were unable to detect MUC1 in the tumor specimens but this patient had high levels of circulating MUC1. We are unable to determine the cause for this discrepancy other than technical error while staining the isolated tumor cells. Serum samples were also obtained from NIH to assess the ability of the TAB 004 EIA to detect stage progression in PDA. Stage 0 patients displayed an average of 15.7 Units/ml. TAB 004 detected significantly more MUC1 in circulation at each stage of progression (Stage 0 vs. Stage 2 and Stage 2 vs. Stage 3 \(P < 0.001\); Stage 3 vs. Stage 4 \(P = 0.048\); Fig. 6B). These data demonstrate the ability of the TAB 004 EIA to predict stage progression in PC.

DISCUSSION

In summary, we have demonstrated exciting applications for the novel MUC1 antibody, TAB 004. First we show the high sensitivity of TAB 004 for MUC1, with binding observed at 3–20 pM range. TAB 004 detected MUC1 with high specificity on PC cell lines and tumors. Further, we demonstrated MUC1 expression on CD44\(^+\)CD24\(^+\)EpCAM\(^+\) and CD133\(^+\) pancreatic CSCs using the TAB 004 antibody. Approximately, 95% of CSCs in vitro and in vivo were identified by the TAB 004 antibody. Expectedly, patient samples displayed more variability, but an average of 80% of CD133\(^+\) pancreatic CSCs were positive for MUC1 via TAB 004 staining. Confocal images show TAB 004 staining on CD133\(^+\) cells in murine and human tumors, confirming our results. Lastly, we developed an
EIA using the TAB 004 antibody to detect circulating levels of tumor-associated MUC1 shed from pancreatic tumors. Detectable levels of MUC1 were observed in mice with HPAF-II tumors, which displayed high levels of intra-tumoral MUC1. However in tumors with low MUC1, BxPC3 tumors, tumor-associated MUC1 was undetectable in the serum, indicating the specificity of our EIA to MUC1. Importantly, TAB 004 was able to accurately detect shed tumor-associated MUC1 in the serum of patients with PC in a stage-specific manner. These data demonstrate the wide range of applications for the novel MUC1 antibody, TAB 004.

Much debate exists within the scientific community as to the appropriate markers for CSCs, which have been defined for each...
individual type of cancer. Pancreatic CSCs were initially identified by Simeone’s group, when they demonstrated the high tumorigenic potential of cells expressing EpCAM⁺CD44⁺CD24⁺ [3]. The observation was interesting as this definition differed from those CSCs originally identified in breast cancer as CD44⁺CD24⁻/low [24]. Thereafter, Hermann et al. [2] used CD133 as a marker to isolate PC cells with a significantly higher tumorigenic potential and demonstrated that this cell population was enriched in mice treated with chemotherapy. CD133 has also been identified in multiple reports as a marker of brain, colon, and lung CSCs [25]. Further, ALDH has also been used as a marker to identify PC stem cells, but ALDH⁺ and CD24⁺CD44⁺ cells showed very little overlap [4]. We assessed both levels of EpCAM⁺CD44⁺CD24⁺ and CD133⁺ cells in PC cell lines in vitro and in vivo. We observed a consistent expression of MUC1 on both populations of cells as detected with the TAB 004 antibody. We choose to focus on CD133⁺ CSCs in the human samples for multiple reasons: (1) CD133 is a well-established marker for CSCs in multiple carcinomas including pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

![Image of human PC expressing MUC1](image)

Fig. 4. Human PC express MUC1 as detected by TAB 004 IHC. Sections from human PC samples were obtained from the NIH tissue repository. IHC was performed using the TAB 004 antibody. Secondary antibody alone served as the negative control. TAB 004 did not detect MUC1 in Stage 0 samples but was detected in all other stages. The image is a representative of n = 5.

### TABLE 1. Summary of Patient Demographics and Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>% positive</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>CD133</th>
<th>MUC1 on CD133</th>
<th>Sera MUC1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>200.9</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>93.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>85.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>75.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>–0.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–1.7</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>–0.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–0.1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>936.4</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–0.2</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>136.3</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>73.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>African American</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient age, gender, race, and stage of disease are displayed. Intra-tumoral MUC1 expression as detected by flow cytometry using TAB 004 is displayed as % positive cells and Geometric Mean. Percentage of cells positive for CD133 is displayed. TAB 004 detection of CD133⁺ cells is listed per patient (° not available because surgery not performed; ** not available because patient expired during surgery). Serum MUC1 levels were detected using the TAB 004 EIA (NA—not available because serum samples not collected).
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and (2) the unexpectedly high levels of Triple+ CSCs (EpCAM+CD44+CD24+) that we observed in both in vitro and in vivo. It is well established that CSCs should comprise an inherently low population of the total cells within a tumor. MUC1 is well known as a cell surface marker of epithelial cells, where it normally functions as a protective barrier. Therefore, MUC1 expression on CSCs is unexpected as these cells are mesenchymal in nature. However, one report investigated levels of MUC1 on H9 and H14 human embryonic stem cells. They found full-length MUC1 expression on newly differentiated human embryonic stem cells [26]. Further, another report investigated MUC1 levels on CSCs within the breast cancer cell line, MCF7. They found that approximately 77% of the CSCs, defined by the Side Population cells, were MUC1high, corroborating our data [27]. Our recent study has demonstrated that MUC1 expression causes epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in PC cells [28]. Thus, we propose that a portion of the

Fig. 5. TAB 004 detects MUC1 on PC CSCs from human patients. Patients with suspected PC that were scheduled for a Whipple procedure were consented for our IRB approved protocol. A,B: Samples were collected immediately after surgery, digested to a single-cell suspension, selected for lineage- cells, dually stained with TAB 004-FITC and CD133-APC, and subjected to flow cytometry. Representative dot plots are displayed in A. CD133 levels for each patient were normalized by subjecting the isotype control levels to account for staining variability. MUC1 levels were assessed on gated CD133+ cells (n = 12). C: Sections from NIH tissue repository were assessed for TAB 004 and CD133 expression using immunofluorescence. TAB 004 detected MUC1 along ductal cells in the displayed lesion. Areas of TAB 004 positive cells that lacked CD133 expression were observed (green arrow). CD133 expression was also observed on the surface of ductal epithelial cells, although to a lesser extent than TAB 004. The merged image demonstrates areas that express CD133 and MUC1 as detected by TAB 004. The image is a representative of n = 6.
MUC1-expressing PC cells are undergoing EMT and retaining their MUC1 positivity, hence leading to MUC1 positive CSCs. The detectable expression of MUC1 on CSCs is extremely promising as a possible mechanism to target these cancer-initiating cells. In a recent study, mice that were vaccinated with PC cells expressing α-gal epitopes, displayed immune responses against CSCs, which we suggest is a result of the expression of MUC1 on the CSCs [29].

Since MUC1 is aberrantly expressed on tumor cells and is shed into the circulation it has long been proposed as a possible biomarker for various epithelial tumors. High MUC1 serum levels are associated with progressive disease and poor prognosis [8–10]. Currently, tests for several of these epitopes are available in commercial form for use in patient management, which include CA15-3 (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL), CA27.29 (Bayer Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY), and CA19-9 (Panomics, Inc., Redwood City, PA). Thus far, none have proven to be of significant diagnostic value due to low specificity as reported in the literature [30–33]. Thus, the American Society of Clinical Oncology does not recommend these tumor marker tests for recurrence screening. The TAB 004 EIA accurately detects shed MUC1 in the circulation of mice with MUC1<sup>high</sup> tumors but not in the circulation of MUC1<sup>low</sup> tumors (Fig. 6A), indicating the specificity of the test. Further using sera from patients with different stages of PC, we were able to predict patient stage based on the levels of shed MUC1 determined by the TAB 004 EIA. These results are extremely exciting and warrant further exploration of MUC1 (as detected by TAB 004) as a biomarker for PC.

The TAB 004 antibody differs from other commercially available antibodies in the manner in which it was generated and its unique CDR sequence. We have demonstrated its sensitivity and specificity to tumor-associated MUC1. Further, we have shown the novel expression of MUC1 on CSCs as recognized by TAB 004. This holds great promise as a possible target to deplete CSCs, which is necessary for elimination of the bulk tumor. TAB 004 can also detect shed MUC1 in the serum of patients with PC before they have progressed to later stage disease and may be explored as a marker of tumor stage. Early detection for PC is key to survival, as this cancer normally does not produce symptoms until later stage disease, is highly aggressive, and mostly fatal. Our lab is currently optimizing many uses for this antibody including targeted drug delivery and TAB 004 EIA for early detection of PC.
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